

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

9
10

11
12

Scheduling Conference:

14
1516
17

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 district courts have inherent power to control their dockets to promote “economy
2 of time and effort for itself, for counsel and for litigants.” *Landis v. North Am.*
3 *Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936).

4 1. Early Meeting of Parties. IT IS ORDERED that, as provided in
5 Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days before the Scheduling
6 Conference, and report on the meeting in writing to the Court within 14 days after
7 the meeting. In all cases, the report SHALL STATE THE PROPOSED DATE
8 AND LENGTH OF THE TRIAL, whether it will be a jury or non-jury trial, and
9 whether the parties prefer the Court Mediation Panel or private mediation. Failure
10 to comply with this Order may result in sanctions.

11 2. Scheduling Conference. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case
12 is set for a Scheduling Conference under Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b) on the date and time
13 stated in the caption of this Order, in Courtroom 10D of the United States
14 Courthouse, 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California. Counsel shall appear
15 at the Scheduling Conference and at all pretrial meetings fully informed
16 concerning the facts of the case and shall be the attorney who will be in charge of
17 the trial, absent good cause for the appearance of other counsel or for a telephonic
18 appearance. If there is a first appearance by any party after the date of this Order,
19 counsel for Plaintiff shall give a copy of this Order to that party.

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 Dated: _____

24
25 _____
26 ANDREW J. GUILFORD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27 Courtroom Deputy Clerk:
28 Lisa Bredahl
(714) 338-4757